

County of Santa Cruz

GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

701 OCEAN STREET, SUITE 330, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4073 (831) 454-2210 FAX: (831) 454-2710 TDD: (831) 454-2123 NANCY GORDON, DIRECTOR

January 3, 2011

Agenda: January 11, 2011

Board of Supervisors 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060

County Fire Mobile Equipment Purchase

Dear Members of the Board:

As your Board is well aware, the County Fire Department has operated with care and diligence in ensuring that operations can be maintained at a level that provides effective service to the public, maintains firefighter safety and manages to acquire and maintain reliable and necessary equipment and apparatus. Due to the economic conditions over the past few years, these tasks have proven to be very challenging, and have been somewhat mitigated by the 60/40 cost sharing of County Fire operations represented in the Cal Fire contract. Staff efforts continue toward maintaining the viability of our emergency response capabilities within the resource limitations, and educating the public of both these capabilities and limitations.

The recommendation before you today represents a purchase of mobile equipment that is critically necessary at this time, and in line with our maintenance of the viability of County Fire's response capacity. Earlier this year, a representative of the Insurance Services Office (ISO) contacted County Fire staff regarding initiating an ISO Public Protection Classification (PPC) grading of Santa Cruz County Fire's jurisdiction. The grading process is complex and measures existing structure fire suppression services through a review of all available aspects of fire service delivery. The ISO evaluation results in an assigned PPC for the area, and is one of several elements that insurance companies use to calculate property insurance premiums. PPCs range from Class 1 (superior property fire protection) to Class 10 (does not meet ISO's minimum criteria). The last ISO PPC grading of County Fire was in 1995. The proposed County Fire ISO grading will be scheduled for sometime in 2011.

In September 2010, County Fire staff met with ISO representatives to discuss the upcoming grading process. Since 1995, ISO has changed some of the criteria for PPC grading and the manner in which the evaluation is conducted. As a result of that meeting, potential deficiencies in County Fire's existing water deployment, from an ISO perspective, were identified. These include the necessary improvement needed in fire flow capability within County Fire and the imminent vehicle replacement required for aging equipment as established in the County Fire Department Five (5) Year Mobile Equipment Replacement Plan. These deficiencies could result in a less desirable ISO PPC for County Fire. Maintaining County Fire's current ISO PPC protects local taxpayers from a future potential increase in homeowner's insurance fees.

Page 2/County Fire Mobile Equipment Purchase Agenda: January 11, 2011

After exploring various alternatives to address these potential water deployment deficiencies, staff is recommending the purchase of a water tender this fiscal year. This addition would provide improved fire flow capability, thus reducing fire loss costs, as well as enhanced firefighter safety, which results in lower Workman's Compensation rates. Staff has researched various models, and has found a basic water tender specification which has proven performance reliability and which will meet the primary objective of greater water deployment capacity. It is likely during the next budget cycle that staff will recommend consideration be given to the purchase of a second water tender, dependent upon the fiscal circumstances at that time.

As stated in other Board reports, County Fire is operating under fiscal constraints. However, staff's analysis confirms that sufficient funds are available for this year's purchase, partially as a result of savings in the CAL FIRE reimbursement agreement, unanticipated revenue that will be realized this fiscal year for the use of County equipment on State incidents, and savings associated with the abandonment of the Fall Creek Station apparatus building project. Staff's recommendation was presented to the Fire Department Advisory Commission (FDAC) at their special meeting on November 10, 2010. One member of the Commission was absent, but those members present unanimously endorsed the purchase of a water tender this fiscal year. The FDAC also recently adopted the County Fire Department Five (5) Year Mobile Equipment Replacement Plan. The Plan's stated objectives include purchasing emergency response apparatus which "maintains or improves the current level of service" and is "cost effective to the citizens of Santa Cruz County." The purchase of a water tender to maintain current ISO ratings for County Fire's jurisdiction is in accordance with these operational objectives.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that your Board:

- 1. Authorize County Fire to purchase a water tender in fiscal year 2010/2011;
- 2. Approve a transfer of \$220,000 of appropriations in Contingencies to Fixed Assets as detailed in the attached AUD 74.

RECOMMENDED:

SUSAN A. MAURIELLO County Administrative Officer

Very truly yours

Nancy Gordon

Director

NCG/PH/DM

Attachment: AUD74

cc: County Fire Department

Auditor-Controller

Fire Department Advisory Commission

COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OR REVISION

0055

				OF BUD	GET APP	ROPRI	ATIC	NS AN	D/OR FUNDS			
Department: County Fire/General Services										Date: 11/30/2010		
то	:	Board o	f Supervisors /	County Ad	ministrat	ive Of	ficer	/ Distric	ct Board			
i he	reby	request y	our approval of the	e following tra	nsfer of bu	dget ap	propri	ations and	d/or funds in the	fiscal year (ending June 30,	2011
	AUDITORS USE ONLY						BATCH #					
		UMENT #	AA AA	AMOUNT		T/C	HASH		DATE		Keyed By:	
JE	6,						1 1					
-	y-	T/C	INDEX	SUBOBJECT	USER CO	DE		АМ	OUNT	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION *		
T R A N S F E R	T 0	0,2,1	3,0,4,1,0,0	8,4,0,9				22,0	0,0,0,0,0	Mobile	Equipment	
	F R O M	0,2,2	3 0 4 1 0 0		ngencies	. (304		2 2 0	0 0 0 •0 0 ect 9695) t	Conting o Fixed		
Nam	e		:100 subobjec	t 8409) to	XX pur	chase	: a w	ater t	ender . Title	Directo	r	·····
		controller's	Action: I hereby co	ertify that unenc	umbered bal				the appropriation		the amounts indicate $\frac{\sqrt{2}/2/\ell\ell}{2}$	cated above.
			ive Officer's Action	solosi	ecommend	ed to Bo	pard		Approved	Not	Recommended o	P Approved
		California f Santa Cri	ss. transfer wa	s approved by d in the minute	said Board	of Super	rvisors	as recom	nta Cruz, I do her nmended by the (County Admi	hat the foregoing nistrative Officer , De	request for by an order eputy Clerk
(A	C) +	Desc:		· 	Item							
Distrib Wh	ution: ite-Bo		4-	AGENDA DATE	strative Office	ITEM N	0.		t Transfer		A-C Review	6

Pink-Originating Department

Alicia Murillo

From:

cbdbosmail@co.santa-cruz.ca.us

Sent:

Tuesday, January 11, 2011 12:09 AM

To:

CBD BOSMAIL

Subject: Agenda Comments

Meeting Date : 1/11/2011

Item Number: 16

Name: Russ Mackey

Email: rmackey411@comcast.net

Address: Bonny Doon

Phone: Not Supplied

Comments:

RE: January 11, 2011 Agenda, Item 16, "County Fire Mobile Equipment Purchase".

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

The item before you today clearly demonstrates that County Fire, under the administration of Cal Fire, DOES NOT have a well-developed comprehensive plan for providing fire and emergency services within CSA 48.

This is the latest is a string of sudden changes from the plans presented to your Board and included in the Cal Fire Contract and the County Budget.

These changes in plans are often touted with flowery prose and claims of suddenly critical needs or unforeseen opportunities for increased safety, efficiency or cost effectiveness. Details are generally omitted; the current purchase request is lacking in detail. Claims of homeowner's insurance and Workers' Compensation savings are mentioned but specifics are not offered.

This purchase request claims that the Fire Department Advisory Commission (FDAC) endorsed this purchase "at their special meeting on November 10, 2010". It is not disclosed that the FDAC first voted in favor of the purchase at their October 13, 2010 meeting. The "special meeting" was necessary due to Brown Act violations. Cal Fire management brought this purchase suggestion to the FDAC for approval without proper public notice.

At this time, County Fire has NO long-range plan.

County Fire DOES NOT have a "Vehicle Replacement Plan", although a five page paper with such a title was approved by the FDAC at its October 2010 meeting. That paper is not a "plan" in any sense of the word.

While the County is considering asking the citizens to increase taxes or fees to support CSA 48 fire services, we are evaluating the track record of County Fire. We see abandoned plans, broken agreements, cost over-runs, and a severe lack of comprehensive forward planning.

The 2007/08 Grand Jury forwarded recommendations and conclusions to your Board:

Because Cal Fire officers concurrently manage both state resources and those of County Fire, conflicts

16

may arise when decisions are made to prioritize issues involving the state and county fire protection resources.

The Board of Supervisors should carefully scrutinize FDAC recommendations for Cal Fire services with the knowledge that these recommendations are heavily influenced and prioritized by Cal Fire officers who also manage County Fire.

The need for EFFECTIVE oversight of County Fire MUST be included as part of any discussion of about setting the level of fire taxes and fees. The wisdom of allowing a single party to be BOTH the administrator of County Fire AND the primary contractor to County Fire needs to be examined.

Thank you for your consideration.

Russ Mackey Bonny Doon

Steering Committee, Friends of Bonny Doon Fire

